

Pacific Marine and Estuarine Fish Habitat Partnership Strategic Plan 2018-2022

CA photo	OR photo	WA photo

PMEP's mission is to provide science, data, and funding to conserve and restore West Coast nearshore and estuarine fish habitat.

Table of Contents

{To be added}

Executive Summary Vision and Mission Partnership Purpose and Governance Structure History

Ecological Importance and Critical Threats

Geographic Scope Goals & Objectives

Financial Plan

Communication Plan

Linking to the National Framework

Measuring Success

APPENDIX A: PMEP Charter

APPENDIX B: Current PMEP Membership

APPENDIX C: National Fish Habitat Action Plan Goals and Objectives



Executive Summary {To be added}

Vision and Mission

Our vision is for California, Oregon, and Washington to have functional, resilient estuarine and nearshore marine ecosystems that support healthy native fish populations.

Our mission is to provide science, data, and funding to conserve and restore West Coast nearshore and estuarine fish habitat.

We accomplish this mission as follows:

- 1. PMEP supports multi-species habitat protection and restoration efforts in Pacific estuarine and nearshore areas and helps advance region-wide priorities in those environments. PMEP works in a complementary and collaborative fashion with the many existing partners targeting estuarine and nearshore habitats along the Pacific, as well as larger-scale regional initiatives.
- 2. PMEP gathers the expertise of local, state, national, and tribal governments, nonprofits and other private organizations, and academia in order to synthesize the best available information to assist efforts to protect and restore native fish habitat along the West Coast.
- 3. PMEP works to develop and compile new datasets to fill high-priority data gaps in our understanding of West Coast native fish habitat.
- 4. PMEP provides, in collaboration with its members and partners, targeted restoration and conservation funding to support on-the-ground work.

Partnership Purpose and Governance Structure

PMEP is one of 20 fish habitat partnerships in the United States with national recognition and support from the National Fish Habitat Partnership. PMEP was formed, like other fish habitat partnerships, to conserve and restore healthy native fish populations to U.S. waters. PMEP's specific focus is on the estuarine and nearshore marine ecosystems in California, Oregon, and Washington.

PMEP is a collaboration of agencies and entities working to further the vision and mission of the collaboration. PMEP is governed by a steering committee made up of federal, tribal, and state governments; nonprofit organizations; and industry

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

representatives. The committee promotes, oversees, and facilitates work to accomplish the goals and strategies of PMEP. PMEP strives for membership that represents the geography and organizational diversity of the West Coast. Serving on the committee is voluntary and members or member organizations are typically added by invitation.

PMEP work is also advanced by a handful of sub-committees. The Science and Data Committee advances PMEP's work with technical tools and assessments. The subcommittee reviews and evaluates pertinent data and scientific conclusions to ensure PMEP actions and activities consider the best available information. PMEP's Outreach Committee is charged with helping PMEP develop outreach strategies to advertise its products to the restoration & conservation community. PMEP's Governance Committee, which is made up of PMEP officers and other interested members, works with the organization's Coordinator to handle the day-to-day operations of the organization. PMEP's Charter that more specifically outlines the structures and functions of PMEP committees can be found in Appendix A.

In conducting its work, PMEP:

- Seeks to build upon and complement existing efforts through collaboration;
- · Focuses on estuarine and nearshore marine habitats;
- Targets habitats that benefit numerous fish species, including, but not limited to, salmonids;
- Takes into consideration climate change impacts as one of a number of limiting factors;
- Focuses on habitats or issues that are not benefiting from existing high profile initiatives;
- Provides value for new and existing efforts by acting as a conduit for new information, networking and peer learning, providing support for fundraising efforts, and sharing of best practices and data; and
- Uses the diverse and unique capabilities and programs of its member organizations to act on common conservation priorities.

History

Emerging and Applying for FHP Candidacy 2008–2009—California, Oregon, and Washington, The Nature Conservancy (TNC), and others prepared a joint application in 2008 for funding from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Multi-State Conservation Grant Program to establish a Pacific-focused fish habitat partnership. The collaboration laid the groundwork for a partnership subsequently joined by other organizations. In August 2009, the Pacific States Marine Fisheries

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

Commission (PSMFC) submitted a letter to the National Fish Habitat Board (NFHP) requesting Candidate Partnership status on behalf of the partnering organizations. The NFHP Board approved that request the following month.

Establishing the Partnership in 2010—More than 40 representatives of state, tribal, and federal agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and others from the region participated in a two-day workshop in May 2010 in Portland, Oregon to define key elements of the partnership and create a preliminary governance structure. An Interim Steering Committee (ISC) was created to further explore a marine and estuarine- focused initiative. In the summer of 2010, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) approved funding for a short-term coordinator, ISC members continued to convene periodically, and presentations were made at numerous events, including the October 2010 and October 2011 NFHP Board meetings.

PMEP's priorities were clarified in a four-step process that culminated in an overarching vision and mission with specific priorities consistent with other NFHP partnerships. In the fall of 2011, the PMEP hired a coordinator to administer the functions of PMEP, facilitate the development of a strategic plan, and assist with the application materials necessary to achieve full FHP status from the NFHP Board. In September 2011, the Interim Steering Committee convened in Portland, Oregon to work through all of the elements of the draft partnership application, define gaps and information needs, and take initial steps toward development of a PMEP strategic framework. At that meeting, ISC members voted to become PMEP Steering Committee.

Formally Launching the Partnership in 2012—In November of 2011, PMEP sent its application and draft strategic framework to the NFHP Board for review and feedback prior to its final determination on partnership status in January 2012. In January 2012, the NFHP Board approved the PMEP as one of 18 nationally recognized fish habitat partnerships.

PMEP the First 5 years -- From 2012-2017, PMEP worked to implement its strategic framework and conducted a number of important foundation assessments to help in its work within estuaries including an inventory of West Coast estuaries and an assessment of the nursery function of these systems. To learn more about work conducted to-date, visit www.pacificfishhabitat.org.

Ecological Importance and Critical Threats

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

{This section's text is mainly pulled from existing plan. NFHP guidance asks that we identify information gaps, research needs, and opportunities to address them as well as relevance or connections to existing plans (e.g., watershed plans, State Wildlife Action Plans, species recovery plans). What other gaps, needs, and plans would we wish to highlight here?}

Numerous Pacific fish species live part or all of their life cycle in estuarine and nearshore marine environments, and can be expected to benefit, to some degree, from protection, enhancement, and restoration of juvenile fish habitat in estuaries, nearshore fish habitat, and tidal wetland-intertidal-subtidal-nearshore connectivity. Pacific coast estuaries and nearshore marine environments provide a broad suite of environmental services, including economic, environmental, social, and cultural benefits and services.

Ecosystem services "connect" the economy and ecology of coastal and marine ecosystems. The range of ecosystem services provided by estuaries and nearshore marine environments is intricately linked to the economic and social well-being of adjacent communities. Healthy marine ecosystems provide sound foundations for fisheries, recreation, and tourism industries, and are the "natural capital base from which many vital goods and services flow."

Biological communities within estuaries and nearshore marine environments have been characterized by a number of entities. For the purposes of clarification, PMEP considers estuaries to include the following components:

- Marine systems at the mouth of rivers, dominated by plants and animals;
- Bays, which are covered by broad mud flats alternately covered by water and exposed to the air due to tidal flows;
- Sloughs, which are smaller side tributaries with little freshwater input; and
- The riverine portion of estuaries, which extend up river to a portion of tidally-influenced areas.

Nearshore habitat is generally described as the area between the high tide line and sixty-six feet in depth (20 meters). Nearshore marine environments may be characterized as having the following habitat types³:

- Rocky shore—high intertidal, mid intertidal, low intertidal, intertidal artificial substrate
- Sandy beach—high intertidal, mid and low intertidal
- Rocky subtidal (which can be further classified by depth and substrate)
 - o shallow rocky reefs less than 25m depth with kelp beds
 - o shallow rocky reefs less than 25m depth without kelp beds
 - o deep rocky reefs more than 25m depth

¹ Swedeen, P., D. Batker, H. Radtke, R. Boumans, and C. Willer. 2008. An Ecological Economics Approach to Understanding Oregon's Coastal Economy and Environment. Audubon Society of Portland. Portland, OR. 83pp.

³ https://www.dfw.state.or.us/mrp/nearshore/docs/strategy/Chapter5.pdf



- subtidal artificial substrate
- Soft bottom subtidal—less and more than 25m depth
- Pelagic—neritic

Bays and estuaries include many diverse and productive habitats, such as salt marshes, eel grass beds, open water, mud flats and river deltas. Bays and estuaries are highly productive ecosystems that provide essential nursery habitat for commercial and recreational fish species, in part because of their crucial nutrient-mixing zone. Estuaries have experienced declines in species diversity and abundance as well as deteriorated water quality for many reasons, including agricultural development as well as the development of port, tourism, and industrial facilities sited along the edges.^{4 5}

Commonly found substrates in the intertidal zone can be rock, gravel, cobble, or sand. Rocky intertidal habitat is home to invertebrate organisms, kelp, brown rockweed, red algae, and surfgrass. Rock reefs, which provide nursery habitat to rockfish, corals, sponges, marine mammals, and seabirds, occur within the intertidal and sub-tidal zones. Larger kelp species are found in submerged habitats with rocky substrate. Sandy bottom intertidal and sub-tidal areas support diverse communities of benthic invertebrates.

Kelp forests occur in the lowest part of the intertidal zone; extend into the nearshore waters below the intertidal zone; can extend over 100 feet from the sea floor to the surface and provide habitat to many invertebrate species such as sponges, worms, sea stars, and sea cucumbers; provide nursery habitat to numerous fish species; can moderate wave action on shorelines and beaches; and are harvested by people for food and products.

Evaluating the numerous habitat types within estuarine and nearshore marine environments along the West Coast, selecting fish and shellfish species and guilds that represent these important habitats, identifying relevant stressors on those habitats, and prioritizing work to protect, enhance and restore these ecosystems are key to the success of the PMEP. PMEP selected 15 focal species to focus its estuarine

⁴ Environmental Protection Agency. 2007. National Estuaries Program Coastal Conditions Report. EPA-842/B-06/001 2006. Office of Water and Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C.

⁵ United Nations Environment Program, 2006. Marine and Coastal Ecosystems and Human Wellbeing: Synthesis. A synthesis report based on the findings of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Brown, C., E. Corcoran, P. Herkenrath, and J. Thonell, eds. UNEP, Nairobi, Kenya.

 ⁶ Swedeen, P., D. Batker, H. Radtke, R. Boumans, and C. Willer. 2008. An Ecological Economics Approach to Understanding Oregon's Coastal Economy and Environment. Audubon Society of Portland. Portland, OR. 83pp.
 ⁷ C.B. Chappell, R.C. Crawford, C. Barret, J. Kagan, D.H. Johnson, et al. 2001. Wildlife habitats: Descriptions, status, trends, and system dynamics. In, Wildlife Habitat Relationships in Oregon and Washington, D.H. Johnson and T.A. O'Neil, Managing Directors. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR.

⁸ Weeks, H. and A. Merems. 2004. 2003 Nearshore Rocky Reef Habitat and Fish Survey, and Multi-year Summary. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Marine Habitat Project, Marine Resources Program.



PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

work around and will select a similar list for the work it will be starting in the nearshore marine environment (Table 1).

Table 1: The 15 fish and crustacean species that are intended to represent major guilds, species of commercial, recreational, and cultural importance, and species whose life histories span all or a portion of West Coast estuaries.

PMEP Focal Species For Estuaries
Dungeness crab (Cancer magister)
Bay shrimp (Crangon franciscorum)
Leopard shark (Triakis semifasciata)
Bat ray (Myliobatis californica)
Green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris)
Steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
Coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch)
Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)
California halibut (Paralichthys californicus)
English sole (Parophrys vetulus)
Starry flounder (Platichthys stellatus)
Brown rockfish (Sebastes auriculatus)
Pacific staghorn sculpin (Leptocottus armatus)
Shiner perch (Cymatogaster aggregata)
Pacific herring (Clupea pallasii)

Estuaries and nearshore marine environments have been significantly altered due to human development activities, including, but not limited to, dredging, hydrologic modifications, urbanization, wastewater disposal, aquaculture practices, dikes, land use conversions, industrial and residential development, invasive species, and

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

wetland drainage (Table 2). Between the years 1980 and 2003, human population levels in coastal counties increased by 33 million people, or by 28%, with the largest gain seen in the Pacific region. It is estimated that by 2025, 75% of the world's population will live in coastal areas. Projected increases in human population and activities in and around estuaries and nearshore areas, including watersheds, threaten the future of these critically important habitats. In addition, new stressors are emerging due to climate change, I including ocean acidification, rising sea surface temperatures, increased storm intensities and extreme wave heights, rising sea levels, expanded hypoxic zones, and changes in sediment transport.

Table 2: Known and recognized threats to fish habitats in estuarine and nearshore marine environments compiled from state wildlife action plans and nearshore strategy documents.

Threat category	Key Stressor	Estuarine environments	Nearshore marine environments
	 Increasing development and land use conversions Past diking and drainage Industrial and residential development Aquaculture practices that reduce 	V	X
Habitat Loss	eelgrass beds	X	۸
Alteration of hydrology	The amount and timing of freshwater input	X	
	 Runoff Failing septic systems Animal waste/excessive livestock grazing Increased storm surge as a result of 		
Degraded water	severe climatic events		
quality	Instream gravel mining	X	X

⁹ http://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/population.html

¹⁰ Agardy, T., J. Alder, P. Dayton, S. Curran, A. Kitchingman, M. Wilson, A. Catenazzi, J. Restrepo, C. Birkeland, S. Blaber, S. Saifullah, G. Branch, D. Boersma, S. Nixon, P. Dugan, N. Davidson, and C. Vorosmarty. 2005. Coastal systems. Chapter 19 in *Ecosystems and Human Wellbeing: Current State and Trends*, R. Hassan, R. Scholes, and N. Ash, Eds., Island Press, pp. 513-549. http://www.maweb.org/documents/document.288.aspx.pdf

¹¹ Airoldi L. and M.W. Beck. 2007. Loss, status and trends for coastal marine habitats of Europe. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev., 45: 345-405.

¹² Tillmann, P. and D. Siemann. 2011. Climate change effects and adaptation approaches in marine and coastal ecosystems of the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative Region. 257pp.

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

	Thir strategic Han 2010 2022					
	Multiple jurisdictions and agencies with management authority and					
Coordination of	interest but lack of overall					
		V	V			
management	coordination to create efficiencies	Х	Х			
	Reduced acreage of late successional					
	conifer trees and barriers to water					
Loss of Habitat	flows on rivers reduces large woody					
complexity	debris	X				
	Lack of education on fish					
	identification leads to					
Lack of public	noncompliance with regulations					
awareness	Lack of public awareness	Χ	X			
	Inadequate information on habitat					
Inadqueate data	maps, life history, historic baselines,					
collection, analysis,	nearshore fish stock assessments,					
timely use	etc.	X	X			
	Algal blooms					
	Release of ship ballast water (e.g.,					
	introductions of new invasive					
	species)					
	Spread of common cordgrass					
Ecosystem	Altered fire regimes					
imbalance	Intensive agriculture	X	X			
	meensive agriculture	Λ	^			
Water management		.,				
conflicts	 Inadequate fresh water inputs 	X				

Geographic Scope

PMEP's geographic scope encompasses coastal draining watersheds along the U.S. West Coast (California, Oregon, and Washington), extending into the marine waters offshore to a depth of 200 meters. Within this spatial domain, the Partnership focuses its attention on the estuarine and nearshore fish habitats that exist in tidal, subtidal and marine waters.

PMEP has established regional boundaries for the purpose of habitat characterization, information synthesis, outreach, habitat conservation and restoration goal setting (Salish Sea; Washington, Oregon, Northern California Coast; Central California, and the Southern California Bight). Regional divides follow



Figure 1. PMEP Ecoregion Boundaries

watershed and international boundaries and extend out to the 200 meter depth contour in close alignment with management boundaries in use by U.S. West Coast fisheries managers.

These boundaries for the partnership were determined during the formation of the group by majority opinion of the interim steering committee members and refined using appropriate spatial data. During formation, PMEP members agreed to revisit these boundaries in the future if circumstances warranted. The group considered these boundaries to be most appropriate to address regional habitat needs because:

- A tri-state focus provides a strong ecological basis by virtue of consistency with the California Current Large Marine Ecosystem.
- There are numerous existing and past organizations along the West Coast that focus regionally, primarily in a tri-state geography, on ocean and coastal

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

health issues (e.g., West Coast EBM Network, West Coast Governors Alliance on Ocean Health). Providing a geographic focus to the partnership that aligns with the geographic focus of other ocean and coastal initiatives increases opportunities to leverage resources and align similar priorities in nearshore marine environments and estuaries.

- Logistically, significant time and cost savings can be achieved with a geographic focus limited to the three West Coast states.
- California has a Nearshore Fishery Management Plan, Oregon has a Nearshore Strategy, and Washington has the Puget Sound Nearshore Ecosystem Restoration Project. Each of these state-based initiatives complement one another and provide a strong foundation for PMEP's work.

Goals & Objectives 2018-2022

Since its inception in 2012, PMEP has focused on West Coast estuarine and nearshore science, conservation, and restoration by providing technical resources to practitioners in the field and supporting on-the-ground activities. PMEP's broad goals and vision for the future were documented in its Strategic Framework 2012-2017. Moving forward, PMEP will continue to refer to the extensive list of actions put forth in this framework document, but create shorter, more targeted lists of objectives for its future five-year strategic plan updates. PMEP's actions for the 2018-2022 timeframe include the following programmatic, operational, and outreach goals and objectives.

2018-2022 goals, objectives and strategies for implementation are outlined in the following fashion –

Goals

- Objectives
 - o Strategies for Implementation
- * Dependent on successfully receiving outside funding to support this item

Programmatic goals

Protect, Restore, and Enhance Juvenile Fish Habitat in California, Oregon, and Washington Estuaries

- By 2020, complete the assessment of restored estuarine areas along the US West Coast and include those restored areas as data layers in the PMEP spatial data framework for practitioners.
- Now through 2022, support the efforts of partner groups, such as the Pacific Northwest Coastal Blue Carbon Working Group, to address climate change and evaluate estuarine contributions to carbon sequestration for the West Coast.
- Now through 2022, refine PMEP USA West Coast Estuary mapping products by incorporating new and existing spatial data layers, as appropriate.
 - Include extending the estuary extent in certain estuaries using available historical estuary mapping.
 - Incorporate complied eelgrass spatial data into the Estuarine Biotic Habitat layer
 - Update the Estuarine Biotic Habitat data using updated input data sources (NWI, C-CAP, others).
- For grant years 2019 and 2020, target FHP grant funds towards understanding and restoring nursery habitats for PMEP focal species within smaller estuarine systems.

Protect, Restore, and Enhance Fish Habitat in the Nearshore Pacific Ocean

- By 2022, complete a nearshore fish habitat assessment with assistance from outside partners.*
 - Define and map boundaries for delineating nearshore zones along the U.S. West Coast based on input from the PMEP Science and Data and Steering Committees.
 - Define focal species for analysis (both adults and juveniles) and other important parameters for the State of the Knowledge Report.
 - Compile and standardize spatial data on nearshore habitats within the zones defined and incorporate this information into PMEP's spatial data framework.
 - Compile a report on the State of The Knowledge on U.S. West Coast Nearshore Fish Habitats, with assistance from experts in the field, based on current literature and data compiled by PMEP.

Increase Connectivity Between Habitats within PMEP's Geographic Scope

- By 2020, in collaboration with the California Fish Passage Forum and the Pacific Lamprey Fish Habitat Partnership, produce an assessment of water crossing structures that impede tidal connectivity in PMEP's study area to be included in PMEP's spatial data framework.*
 - Identify existing efforts underway to document tidal restrictions (such as diked lands, roadways, and tide gates) within the three states.

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

- Implement a call for existing data and create one standardized spatial dataset.
- o Identify areas where information is lacking and where additional assessment is needed.
- Conduct a spatial analysis of the area behind identified tidal connectivity restrictions.
- In 2020, hold a summit with West Coast restoration experts on Tidal
 Restrictions and Habitat Connectivity in order to share technical information
 compiled by PMEP and its partners, identify continuing gaps in knowledge,
 enhance peer-to-peer learning on the topic, and produce a consensus
 statement on best available information for use in planning projects to
 increase habitat connectivity along the West Coast.*
- For grant years 2021 and 2022, target FHP grant funds towards projects that improve connectivity and restore tidal influence. Target projects that use best approaches identified during the summit.

Operational goals

Ensure the Continuation of PMEP and its Work

- Continue to recruit new members for the PMEP Steering Committee in order to maintain a balance of expertise and geographic representation.
- During the next five years, add two to three new members with a focus on increasing California (especially Southern California) and native sovereign national representation.
- Ensure that volunteer contributions are adequately acknowledged on an annual basis.
- By 2020, build a more active network of partner organizations that support PMEP work and advertise PMEP products within their own networks.
- Prioritize funding a staff coordinator (or equivalent in-kind contribution from a member) each year from 2018-2022.
- Prioritize funding for an annual in-person meeting or workshop of members and partners to sustain engagement and build awareness of PMEP in each ecoregion.
- Secure funding for PMEP initiatives from new funding sources, including public and private funding.
 - By 2020, secure one new funder for PMEP work and an additional new funder by 2022.
 - Partner with Beyond the Pond in order to secure funds earmarked for nonprofits.
 - Reach out to appropriate corporate funders for support of specific initiatives. Evaluate whether these funders have existing giving priorities in line with PMEP's mission.

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

- Maintain connection with NOAA West Coast coastal assessment to ensure synergy with PMEP assessment work. Annually, coordinate with NOAA on possible funding partnerships.
- Maximize funding for on-the-ground conservation and restoration projects through the established FHP grant opportunity. Each year, work towards achieving a Score of 3 from FWS on PMEP work.

Outreach goals

Increase Awareness of PMEP and its Products Across the West Coast and Nationally

- Publish estuary spatial data to web and advertise its availability to the restoration and conservation communities in order to foster use of standardized regional datasets in conservation and restoration planning.
 - PMEP will create ready-made content that members and partners can use to advertise these products through their existing outreach platforms (newsletters, conferences, social media, etc.)
 - PMEP will send an e-blast to its mailing list of partners advertising the data and showcasing the utility of the products.
 - PMEP members will present to at least 2 professional conferences or other meetings regarding the spatial data framework.
 - PMEP will track data downloads and follow-up with users via survey to assess how data was used in conservation and restoration planning.
- Create an Outreach and Communications Plan to increase awareness of PMEP and its future products to specific target audiences by 2019, and update biennially thereafter.
 - PMEP will maintain an active Outreach Committee with experts in the field of communication and will hold regular (at least biannual) meetings of its Outreach Committee to gain expert opinion regarding its outreach efforts.
 - PMEP will strive to create audience-specific messages to appeal to stakeholders with differing interests or priorities. PMEP will develop an attractive, interesting briefing packet, with information about the partnership and its accomplishments, for marketing and promotion.
 - PMEP will continue to encourage members and partners to promote and distribute information about PMEP's activities within their networks.
 - As part of the overall plan, PMEP will maintain an outreach calendar with opportunities for outreach to promote PMEP and its products regionally and nationally.



Financial Plan

Case for Support

Healthy marine ecosystems are intricately linked to the economic and social well-being of adjacent communities. PMEP provides science, data, and funding to conserve and restore the West Coast's nearshore and estuarine fish habitat, which is vital to the health of our marine ecosystems.

Estuaries and nearshore marine environments have been significantly altered due to human development activities and they will continue to be at risk due to increasing population pressure along our coasts and impacts from climate change. PMEP gathers the expertise of many in order to synthesize the best available information in order to assist in protecting and restoring these important fish habitats.

PMEP is creating a spatial data system to help advance regional and local knowledge. This helps in landscape level planning, but it also allows PMEP to provide needed data to entities that would perhaps otherwise not have access to more local information for conservation and restoration planning. No other spatial tool exists for use by agencies, organizations, and academia when prioritizing protection and restoration efforts, or conducting science. PMEP works to fill high-priority data gaps in our understanding, without which we could not adequately prioritize conservation and restoration measures for the future. PMEP always works in a complementary and collaborative fashion with existing local and regional initiatives.

Financial Development Strategy

- Continue participation in and support for NFHP to encourage continued Congressional support of Fish Habitat Partnership funding through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS).
- Strategically apply for other public funding sources to support PMEP projects, including NOAA, FWS, and other federal and state agencies funds.
- Continue to partner with other FHPs on projects of interest to both organizations in order to strengthen grant applications to funders, such as the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies.
- Continue to establish partnerships with like-minded groups, such as The Nature Conservancy, to fund projects of mutual interest.
- Intensify efforts to cultivate and secure grants from new funders including private foundations and state-level entities. Organizations to consider include:
 - National Fish and Wildlife Foundation

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

- Packard Foundation
- Waitt Foundation
- The Campbell Foundation
- Bullitt Foundation
- Marisla Foundation
- Assess the feasibility of and cultivate corporate funding for programs and projects.
- Partner with Beyond the Pond to apply for grants and to provide an opportunity to receive direct donations from individual donors, if applicable.

Financial Development Infrastructure

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) serves as the host agency for PMEP. PSMFC has extensive grant management infrastructure for managing government grants and currently manages all grant funding for PMEP work. PMEP should continue to rely on PSMFC development and financial management expertise over the next five years. In addition, PMEP now has the ability to partner with Beyond the Pond, the 501(c)(3) arm of the National Fish Habitat efforts. Partnering with Beyond the Pond will allow PMEP to access previously inaccessible grant opportunities tailored to nonprofit organizations, since PSMFC is a governmental entity. This should help PMEP to diversify its funding base in the future. PMEP will continue to rely on members and its Coordinator to develop projects and funding applications.

Revenue and Expense Projections

Below are estimated projections of potential revenue and expenses over the fiveyear planning horizon. A specific budget and timeline should be created for each project or initiative separately.

Revenue	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
FWS	\$150,000	\$75,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000
Multi-State Grant	\$12,000	\$60,000	\$30,000	\$0	\$0
NOAA	\$20,000	\$135,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Other funding sources	\$0	\$15,000	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Total Projected Revenue	\$182,000	\$285,000	\$230,000	\$190,000	\$190,000

Expenditures

	PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022				
Personnel/Coordination	\$110,000	\$155,000	\$110,000	\$110,000	\$110,000
Rent	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400
Supplies	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$5,000	\$3,000	\$3,000
Travel	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$50,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Project Contracts	\$34,600	\$92,600	\$50,600	\$42,600	\$42,600
Indirect	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$13,000
Total Projected					
Expenditures	\$182,000	\$285,000	\$230,000	\$190,000	\$190,000

Communication Plan

PMEP plans to create a communication's plan by 2019. It is one of the group's main objectives in order to increase awareness of the organization and its products. This plan will be a stand-alone document, separate from the strategic plan, and be updated on a biennial basis to ensure its relevancy and utility. The communication plan should be designed to help PMEP also achieve its other goals and objectives. The plan will document the key messages of the organization for each target audience it is trying to reach. It will also outline the communication tools and outreach methods PMEP will use to reach its audience. It will define the role of the PMEP Coordinator, host agency, and PMEP members in communication and outreach activities.

PMEP is uniquely positioned to assist in protecting and restoring estuarine and nearshore marine fish habitats along the West Coast by synthesizing the best available information for use by the greater conservation community. PMEP's combined expertise and spatial data tools can be useful both regionally and locally. In addition, PMEP has funding to support projects annually. PMEP's overall effectiveness in achieving its mission and vision hinge on its ability to be a "known entity" in the West Coast conservation community. Ensuring networks of practitioners know the group and its products is vital to PMEP's success as an organization. Since PMEP does not have a large communications and outreach budget, it will be important for the organization to capitalize on its members' and partners' existing communication methods and key regional meetings to get its message to new contacts. Creating a solid plan will allow the organization to use its limited funding most strategically.

Linking to the National Framework

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

PMEP is one of 20 fish habitat partnerships (FHPs) around the country, created during the implementation of the National Fish Habitat Action Plan. PMEP's goals and objectives align with the national goals and objectives set forth by the National Fish Habitat Partnership (NFHP) in the action plan (see Appendix C for the goals and objectives of the national plan).

PMEP coordinates with NFHP and other FHPs on a regular basis and is an important partner in implementing national initiatives. In particular, assessments coordinated by and collected by PMEP feed into the national assessment of fish habitat overseen by NFHP. PMEP's Science and Data Committee helps assure that assessments link to the national framework for assessing fish habitat and the committee members coordinate with the national science and data committee to ensure this connection.

Since 2012, PMEP has worked to compile important data sets into a spatial data framework for the entire region. This data and other assessments, emanating from various non-governmental organizations and governmental agencies, can be used for GIS analysis of fish habitats to set priorities for conservation action and research by project partners and academic institutions. The GIS analysis will be particularly useful in determining habitat loss and species vulnerability across the range of the PMEP. Adequate representation of functioning systems along the Pacific Coast will help to inform species status and shifts as well as identify gaps where information is needed.

Coordinating with NFHP and other coastal-related FHPs, provides PMEP the following benefits:

- Science and data. The National Fish Habitat Action Plan helps to identify
 causative factors for declining fish populations by using an integrated
 landscape approach, conducting an assessments of Pacific fish habitats and
 needs, identifying areas that should be prioritized for protection and
 restoration, and providing a framework and standard for further data
 gathering.
- Networking opportunities. The existence of other fish habitat partnerships provides opportunities to share information, resources, and lessons learned.
- Governance and coordination role. Direction and support to the PMEP to ensure alignment with the National Fish Habitat Action Plan and coordination and communication across partnerships will help advance PMEP goals and objectives.
- Assistance in helping the partnership measure its success. Sharing
 information about how other partnerships develop performance metrics can
 assist the PMEP to develop consistent metrics that can be compiled at the
 national level.

Measuring Success

{Are there any additional priorities for monitoring or factors that limit the effectiveness of monitoring and evaluation studies we wish to address here. How would the group like to use assessment information for adaptive management and future decision-making?}

As PMEP was forming, it laid out a broad vision for the future in its Strategic Framework 2012-2017. As we know, measuring success in the short-term for broad-reaching environmental conservation goals can be difficult, as ecological changes happen on a decadal scale or longer. PMEP's continued vision is for California, Oregon, and Washington to have functional, resilient estuarine and nearshore marine ecosystems that support healthy native fish populations and all of PMEP's work is designed to help achieve this long-term vision.

The spatial data and other scientific information compiled by PMEP is designed to assist conservation and restoration professionals in designing projects and management plans that help realize PMEP's long-term vision. With each assessment conducted or funded by PMEP, PMEP is able to refine its tools for professionals and further define the data gaps that still exist that prevent us, collectively, from reaching our conservation and restoration goals.

{Should we include here a list of known data gaps identified in PMEP's prioritization effort?}

For this strategic plan, PMEP has drafted more specific, SMART objectives that can be achieved over a five-year period. PMEP will track outputs and outcomes for these objectives, as it is able, and report its findings to its members, partners, and the NFHP. Information learned from the priority studies outlined in this strategic plan, along with discovered data gaps, will be used for future PMEP planning and adaptive management efforts. This plan will be updated every five years or as needed when major new information is identified.





APPENDIX A: PMFP Charter

I. PURPOSE OF THE ORGANIZATION

The Pacific Marine and Estuarine Fish Habitat Partnership (PMEP) is a collaboration of agencies and entities working to conserve and restore healthy native fish populations in functional, resilient estuarine and nearshore marine ecosystems in California, Oregon, and Washington.

PMEP is governed by a Steering Committee made up of federal, tribal, and state governments; nonprofit organizations; and industry representatives. The committee promotes, oversees, and facilitates work to accomplish the goals and strategies of PMEP.

II. ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

A. Coordinator

- PMEP is guided by a Coordinator whose work is as set out by the Steering Committee to accomplish the goals, objectives and tasks of the strategic plan and other duties as determined by the Steering Committee.
- Duties: _Generally, the Coordinator has day-to-day responsibilities for the organization and its finances. The PMEP Coordinator shall convene and organize regular meetings of the Steering Committee and other committees, attend all meeting, take notes and record official actions, maintain the organization's website, and posting work products and decision documents to that website. The Coordinator shall be the principal conduit for communicating with the Steering Committee and other committees and assuring their work is proceeding in a timely fashion towards established goals.

B. Governance Committee

- The PMEP governance committee will be comprised of five members. Members will include the PMEP Chair, Chair-elect, and if possible the Past Chair. Additional members may include the primary federal agency funder, the PMEP fiscal agent, or other appointed active members of the Steering Committee. The term for Governance Committee members will be one year with no limit on the number of terms served. Each year, Steering Committee members will be asked for nominations for individuals to serve.
- <u>Duties:</u> The roles and responsibilities of governance committee members include working closely with the PMEP Coordinator to resolve issues and make decisions regarding day-to-day operations of the PMEP, to help set the Steering Committee meeting agendas, and to provide guidance to the Coordinator on ways to resolve issues. All matters of importance will continue to be directed to the PMEP Steering Committee.

C. Steering Committee

- The Steering Committee is comprised of members committed to estuary, nearshore, and fish habitat preservation and restoration along the West Coast.
- <u>Duties:</u> Roles and responsibilities of Steering Committee members:
 - Agree to support and advance the goals and objectives of PMEP as laid out in the strategic framework.
 - Provide strategic advice and vision to PMEP; prioritize and focus PMEP to achieve success.
 - Offer capacity, technical assistance and funding when possible.
 - Provide budget and financial oversight to ensure expenditures and changes are appropriate.
 - Provide guidance and leadership to the PMEP Coordinator. Oversee the work of the PMEP Coordinator,
 - Review and update the strategic framework as needed.
 - Monitor activities and projects initiated as part of the strategic framework.
 - Assist in coordinating and leading efforts that engage partner organization.
- Membership: The size of the PMEP Steering Committee shall not exceed 20 members. PMEP strives for membership that represents the geography and organizational diversity of the West Coast. Members may be added to the Steering Committee through the initiation or invitation of the steering committee or by a steering committee nominating an entity. Steering Committee members have a right to a named alternate; the Coordinator must be notified of the alternate in advance of any meeting.
- Nominations: Any Steering Committee member can nominate a new member to the committee. The member must notify the PMEP Coordinator and provide written documentation (from a steering committee member or the nominee) articulating what the member brings to the group and any expectations the nominee has regarding membership. The PMEP Coordinator distributes nominations to steering committee members. Members have 30 days to review and discuss pending nomination before a decision is made.
- Attendance: Steering Committee members are expected to attend all Steering Committee meetings/conference calls and other activities in which the steering committee convenes; Steering Committee members are expected to actively engage in the partnership. If a Steering Committee member misses three consecutive meetings (Steering Committee conference calls, etc.), the member will be formally approached to discuss interest in future participation.



D. Subcommittees

- The Steering Committee may form subcommittees or work groups as deemed useful to conduct the work of the Committee. These will include a Science and Data Committee, and may include an Outreach & Education Committee or other subcommittees. Subcommittees may also include people who are not members of the Steering Committee. Subcommittee members are expected to support and advance the goals and objectives of PMEP.
- The Science and Data Subcommittee is a standing subcommittee with the goal of advancing PMEP's work with technical tools and assessments. The subcommittee reviews and evaluates pertinent data and scientific conclusions, then makes recommendations to the Steering Committee to ensure PMEP actions and activities consider the best available information. The Steering Committee will direct the workload of the Science and Data subcommittee. The subcommittee will meet at least quarterly every year. The chair of the subcommittee will attend steering committee meetings and provide progress updates.

E.. Partner Organizations

- Roles and responsibilities of partner organizations include:
 - Agree to support and advance the goals and objectives of PMEP.
 - Be genuinely interested in PMEP and an external advocate for its goals/objectives.
 - Offer capacity, technical assistance and funding when possible.

III. OFFICERS

- <u>Chair and Chair-elect</u>: The PMEP Steering Committee shall elect a Chair-elect among its members at the beginning of the calendar year to serve a one-year term as Chair-elect and a consecutive one-year term as Chair of the committee. Of the Chair and Chair-elect, no more than one can be a federal government/tribal sovereign representative. Nominations for a Chair-elect shall occur at the annual fall meeting.
- <u>Duties:</u> The Chair shall provide strategic direction for the organization; coordinate with any and all PMEP Committees, the Coordinator, the fiscal agent, and primary federal funder; and shall be authorized to speak on behalf of the Steering Committee. The Chair-elect shall act in place of the Chair if the latter is unable to perform his or her duties.
- <u>Past Chair:</u> When able, the immediate Past Chair of the Steering Committee will be invited to serve a minimum of one year on the Governance Committee to

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

assist with leadership transition and to ensure transfer of knowledge among committee officers. This is an optional officer position to be filled according to the Steering Committee's needs and the Past Chair's willingness to serve.

IV. MEETINGS & DOCUMENTING THE WORK OF THE PARTNERSHIP

- Steering Committee and subcommittee meetings are open to the public; however, they are not "public meetings."
- Steering Committee action items and major decisions will be documented in writing on the PMEP website. Other important documents will be posted on the website. The PMEP Coordinator or his/her designee is responsible for recording official actions, taking notes each time the Steering Committee convenes, and posting official documents on the PMEP website.

V. DECISION MAKING

- Steering Committee matters will be discussed with the goal of seeking consensus. For key decision points or if consensus cannot be reached, any member can call for a vote, and that call must be seconded. Discussion will occur, dissenting positions will be documented, and a vote will be taken.
- The Steering Committee must have a quorum (simple majority, i.e., more than half of the total members present) to call for a vote or make a consensus-based decision. A simple majority is required for a vote to pass.
- A Steering Committee member cannot give another member, unless that member is a designated alternate, his/her vote.
- Meetings can occur in-person or via web conferencing or telephone. Between meetings, the Steering Committee can make decisions via email. If the Coordinator or Chair determine that action is required on an item prior to the next meeting of the Steering Committee, an email ballot will be used to record a vote of the committee. The cover email must clearly explain that their formal written consent is being solicited and that the action will not take effect unless a simple majority approves it.
- Except for email votes called for by the Chair or Coordinator, members must be present at the meeting or on the call /web conference to vote (no written votes can be submitted).

VI. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

- Members shall avoid conflicts of interest. Any member who has a direct or indirect financial interest in a project or undertaking of the PMEP should disclose such potential conflict, and without going through the process for determining whether a conflict of interest actually exists, recuse himself or herself from involvement in any decision or discussion in which he or she may have a conflict of interest.
- In cases where a member's agency or organization has applied for funding from the PMEP, that member may present the agency's or organization's proposal and may answer questions from the Committee if like opportunities are provided for other applicants for funding. However, the member may not participate in subsequent discussions and shall not vote on such requests.

VII. AMENDMENTS

 These guidelines may be amended when necessary by two-thirds majority of the Steering Committee. Proposed amendments must be submitted to the PMEP Coordinator to be sent out with a regularly scheduled meeting agenda.



APPENDIX B: Current PMEP Membership

Steering Committee				
First Name	Last Name	Organization	Title	
Ċ	A 11	Pacific States Marine	Senior Program	
Stan	Allen	Fisheries Commission	Manager	
			Resource Assessment	
Davo	Foy	Oregon Dept. Fish and Wildlife	and Management	
Dave	Fox		Section Manager	
Sarah	Pooslov	Yurok Tribal Fisheries	Ficharias Pialogist	
Saran	Beesley	Program	Fisheries Biologist	
		South Slough National Estuarine Research	Coastal Training	
John	Bragg	Reserve	Program Coordinator	
John	ыада	WA Dept. Fisheries and	Habitat Restoration	
Doris	Small	Wildlife	Coordinator	
20113	5111011	TTHGITE	Director of Marine and	
			Coastal Programs -	
Jena	Carter	The Nature Conservancy	Oregon	
		j	Northern California	
Korie	Schaeffer	NMFS, NOAA Fisheries	Habitat Coordinator	
			NW Marine Habitat	
John	Stadler	NOAA Fisheries	Coordinator	
		US Forest Service, Region	Resource Assessment	
Adriana	Morales	5	Section Leader	
		Pacific States Marine	Habitat Program	
Fran	Recht	Fisheries Commission	Coordinator	
			Supervisory Research	
John	Netto	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	Fishery Biologist	
		Morro Bay National		
Lexie	Bell	Estuary Program	Executive Director	
			Marine Affairs	
Andy	Lanier	Oregon DLCD	Coordinator	

PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

Science and Data Sub-Committee				
First Name	Last Name	Organization	Title	
Dayv	Lowry	WA Dept. Fish and Wildlife	Habitat Science Division	
Correigh	Greene	NOAA	Research Biologist	
Van	Hare	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission	GIS Manager	
Laura	Brophy	Institute for Applied Ecology	Director, Estuary Technical Group	
Eric	Grossman	U.S. Geological Survey	USGS Western Fisheries Research Center	
Bill	Pinnix	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	Fish Biologist	
Steve	Rumrill	Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife	Shellfish Program Leader	
Kate	Sherman	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission	Data Management Specialist	
Scott	Heppell	Oregon State University	Associate Professor of Fisheries	
Walter	Heady	The Nature Conservancy	Central Coast Wetlands Group	
Brett	Holycross	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission	Data Specialist	
Beth	Sanderson	NOAA	Ecosystem Program Manager	
Kevin	O'Conner	Central Coast Wetlands Group	Project Manager	



PMEP Strategic Plan 2018-2022

APPENDIX C: National Fish Habitat Action Plan Goals and Objectives

Goals

- Protect and maintain intact healthy aquatic systems.
- Prevent further degradation of fish habitats that have been adversely affected.
- Reverse declines in the quality and quantity of aquatic habitats to improve the overall health of fish and other aquatic organisms.
- Increase the quality and quantity of fish habitats that support a broad natural diversity of fish and other aquatic species.

Objectives

- Conduct a condition analysis of all fish habitats within the United States by 2010.
- Identify priority fish habitats and establish Fish Habitat Partnerships targeting these habitats by 2010.
- Establish 12 or more Fish Habitat Partnerships throughout the United States by 2010.
- Prepare a "Status of Fish Habitat in the United States" report in 2010 and every five years thereafter.
- Protect all healthy and intact fish habitats by 2015.
- Improve the condition of 90 % of priority habitats and species targeted by Fish Habitat Partnerships by 2020.

Final Interim Strategies

- Identify and protect intact and healthy waters.
- Restore natural variability in river and stream flows and water surface elevations in natural lakes and reservoirs.
- Reconnect fragmented river, stream, reservoir, coastal, and lake habitat to allow access to historic spawning, nursery and rearing grounds.
- Reduce and maintain sedimentation, phosphorus and nitrogen runoff to river, stream, reservoir, coastal, and lake habitats to a level within 25% of the expected natural variance in these factors or above numeric State Water Quality Criteria.